

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,654	05/09/2006	Maxim Fradkin	FR 030141	1782
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 09/18/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			KOZIOL, STEPHEN R	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/578,654	FRADKIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
STEPHEN R. KOZIOL	2624		

	STEPHEN R. KOZIOL	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extension of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In one worth, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period to reply is specified above, the readment additionally period will apply and vite cipies SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period of reply is specified above, the readment additional period will apply and vite cipies SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Any reply received by the Critica later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried pend term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u> .      2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This      3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine:  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 Mav 2006 is/are: a)( Applicant may not request that any objection to the to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ot	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFF					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/Gbr08) Paper Nofs/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informati 6) Other:	ate					

Application/Control Number: 10/578,654 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

### Detailed Action

#### Abstract

1. The abstract is objected to for minor informalities. One goal of the abstract is to provide a reader with a concise summary of the key elements of the invention such that the reader may quickly determine whether or not the rest of the patent is worth considering; i.e. the abstract should be a stand-alone encapsulation of the invention. Accordingly, the abstract should not refer back to other portions of the specification by incorporating reference numbers to various drawings. Please provide a corrected abstract wherein all such numerical references to the drawings are removed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. § 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

 The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Descriptive material can be characterized as either "functional descriptive material" or "nonfunctional descriptive material". In this context, "functional descriptive material" consists of data structures and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. (The definition of "data structure" is "a physical or logical relationship among data elements, designed to support specific data manipulation functions." The New IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 308 (5th ed. 1993.) "Monfunctional descriptive material" includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data.

When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized. Compare In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d 1031, 1035 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (claim to data structure stored on a computer readable medium that increases computer efficiency held statutory) and Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 136-04, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (claim to computer having a specific data structure stored in memory held statutory product-by-process claim) with Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1759 (FO (claim to data structure per se held nonstatutory).

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and the rest of the

Application/Control Number: 10/578,654 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

computer which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d at 1035.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Independent Claim 1 appears to define an apparatus using "means plus function" claim language. However, the specification does not disclose corresponding physical structure associated with each claim element, and the specification gives an indication that the invention may be embodied as pure software (e.g. in ¶0067 of the specification, the "means" for implementing the various claimed steps have an embodiment that appears to be no more than "instructions" or program code). Therefore, the claim as a whole appears to be nothing more than a collection of software elements, thus defining functional descriptive material per se.

Functional descriptive material may be statutory if it resides on a "computer-readable medium or computer-readable memory". The claim(s) indicated above lack structure, and do not define a computer readable medium and are thus non-statutory for that reason (i.e., "When functional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium it becomes structurally and functionally interrelated to the medium and will be statutory in most cases since use of technology permits the function of the descriptive material to be realized" – Guidelines Annex IV). The scope of the presently claimed invention encompasses products that are not necessarily computer readable, and thus NOT able to impart any functionality of the recited program. The examiner suggests:

 Amending the claim(s) to embody the program on "computer-readable medium" or equivalent; assuming the specification does NOT define the computer readable medium as a Application/Control Number: 10/578,654

Art Unit: 2624

"signal", "carrier wave", or "transmission medium" which are deemed non-statutory (refer to "note" below); or

 Pointing out where the corresponding structure can be found in the specification that would clearly be indicative of a statutory apparatus, in a 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph sense.

Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

Note:

"A transitory, propagating signal ... is not a "process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter." Those four categories define the explicit scope and reach of subject matter patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101; thus, such a signal cannot be patentable subject matter." (In re Petrus A.C.M. Nuijten; Fed Cir, 2006-1371, 9/20/2007).

Should the full scope of the claim as properly read in light of the disclosure encompass non-statutory subject matter such as a "signal", the claim as a whole would be non-statutory. Should the applicant's specification define or exemplify the computer readable medium or memory (or whatever language applicant chooses to recite a computer readable medium equivalent) as statutory tangible products such as a hard drive, ROM, RAM, etc, as well as a non-statutory entity such as a "signal", "carrier wave", or "transmission medium", the examiner suggests amending the claim to include the disclosed tangible computer readable storage media, while at the same time excluding the intangible transitory media such as signals, carrier waves, etc.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,654

Art Unit: 2624

 Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation of a "2D data plane" in line 8 and then "said Data Plane" in line 10. It is unclear whether "said Data Plane" (capitalized) of line 10 is intended to refer back to the "2D data plane" (un-capitalized), or if the "data plane" and the "Data Plane" are intended to be two separate planes (or Planes). Accordingly, there is insufficient antecedent basis for the "said Data Plane" in line 10 limitation in claim 1.

Dependent claims 2-15 are similarly rejected for their dependence on a rejected base claim.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 14 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 first <u>and</u> second paragraphs as attempting to define a product (i.e., machine or apparatus) entirely by virtue of its function, in the absence of any recited structure.

Products must distinguish over the prior art in terms of their structure (or structure + structure's function when claimed functionally) rather than function alone (MPEP 2114).

Therefore, an "apparatus" not having structural limitations fails to "particularly point out and distinctly claim ..." the invention in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 112. 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,654

Art Unit: 2624

Furthermore, while the specification disclosure may be enabling for a plurality of structural elements performing the claimed functions [1], the specification does not reasonably provide enablement for a single structural element (or no structural elements) performing all of the claimed functions. That is, given the claim in question, the specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims ("A single means claim, i.e., where a means recitation does not appear in combination with another recited element of means, is subject to an undue breadth rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph" because a single means claim covers "every conceivable means for achieving the stated purpose" and "the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor" - MPEP, at paragraph 2164.08(a)).

Applicant is advised to define the apparatus by virtue of the individual structural element that serve to perform the individual functions recited in the corresponding method claim.

[1] Even when an apparatus is disclosed as being computer implemented (e.g., software implemented on hardware), the requirement remains that there be some structure recited in the body of the claim (e.g., a processor and a memory storing a program which when implemented performs the method steps). For purposes of "means plus function" language, individual disclosed steps corresponding to computer program elements operating on a processor (e.g., inputting, filtering, detecting and resolving) may be considered as separate means (*Dossel*, 115 F.3d at 946–47, 42 USPQ2d at 1885).

Application/Control Number: 10/578,654 Page 7

Art Unit: 2624

## Allowable Subject Matter

 Claims 1-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and 35 U.S.C. § 112, first and second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

7. The following is a statement regarding the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record fails to fairly teach or suggest the limitations of: *automatic* mapping a 3-D Surface Model onto the surface of an object of interest in a 3-D image, for estimating a model-based 3-D segmentation surface, comprising visualizing means (60) and further comprising: means of interactive adaptation (20) of the segmentation surface to the actual surface of the object of interest including: means of interactive selection (40) of a 2D data plane (DP) that intersects the 3-D segmentation surface along a 2-D Model Curve (MC), and means of further *automatically adapting* the 3D segmentation surface within a neighborhood of the interactively adapted Aberrant Curve (emphasis added). It is the *automated nature* of the present claimed invention combined with use of the Aberrant Curve of the Model Curve that helps distinguish over the cited prior art of record.

#### Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Koziol whose telephone number is (571) 270-1844. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 - 5:30 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samir Ahmed can be reached at (571) 272-7413. Customer Service can be reached at (571) 272-2600. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-7332.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

09/12/2008 /srk/

/Samir A. Ahmed/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624